

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/683,635	01/29/2002	Mathew Sommers	GLO 2 0079	4172		
27885 7	7590 04/22/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER			
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP 1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR			LEE, GUI	LEE, GUIYOUNG		
CLEVELAND	•	TLOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2875			

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

v	v	/
X		

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/683,63	5	SOMMERS, MATHEW			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Guiyoung		2875			
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
THE MAILI - Extensions or after SIX (6) - If the period for the second of the second o	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP NG DATE- OF THIS COMMUNICATION f time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a refor reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periodly within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt elived by the Office later than three months after the mail it term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from I ication to become ABANDONEL	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.		
Status							
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	January 2004	<u>4</u> .				
2a)⊟ This	action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is n	on-final.				
• - · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	Claims						
4a) O 5)☐ Clain 6)⊠ Clain 7)☐ Clain	f the above claim(s) is/are pending in the fithe above claim(s) is/are withdren(s) is/are allowed. f(s) is/are allowed. f(s) is/are rejected. f(s) is/are objected to. f(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from cor					
Application Pa	apers						
9)∐ The s	pecification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	by b	nts have bee nts have bee iority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No In this National	Stage		
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 /Mail Date <u>0603</u> .	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/683,635

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Notice of Appeal and Response filed 1-07-2004.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with regard to claims 1-10 are persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 3. The indicated allowability of claims 11-16 and 18-26 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Katoh (USPT 5,032,960). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-9, 11-16, 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brookman (USPT 5,337,225) in view of Katoh (USPT 5,032,960).

Re claims 1, 4-9, 11-14, 16, and 18-20: Brookman discloses a border lighting strip having an electrical cable (28 in Fig. 2) including a plurality of electrical conductors, a plurality of light emitting devices (LEDS) (24) arranged alongside the electrical cable and electrically connected thereto by lead frame and crimps (26), and a sheath (34 and 36) at least partially made from a light-transmissive material (15 in Fig. 3), said sheath having a hollow region (the hollow portion around 24) adapted to receive the LEDS, and an integrally formed cylindrical lens (36) arranged to optically cooperate with the LEDS. Brook man does not disclose that the lens is an extended cylindrical lens having a length substantially coextensive with a length of the hollow tube.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,635

Art Unit: 2875

However, Katoh teaches an extended cylindrical lens having a length substantially coextensive with a length of the hollow tube (34 in Fig. 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute Katoh's cylindrical lens with Brookman's lens in order to converge luminous flux of LED light.

Re claims 2-3: Katoh teaches that the lens is made of transparent acrylic resin (col. 5, lines 47-48).

Re claims 15 and 22: Brookman discloses that the strip is made of polymeric molding material that is flexible.

Re claim 21: Brookman discloses that the tube is formed by an extrusion molding process (col. 3, lines 15+).

Re claims 23-26: Brookman discloses a method for manufacturing a lighting strip (col. 3, lines 5-31). Brookman does not disclose that the sheath is extruded simultaneously with an integrally optical element parallel to and formed with sheath. However, Katoh teaches a method of manufacturing method including the step of integrally molding the convergent rod lens and the casing by continuous two-color extrusion (col. 3, line 45-54). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ Katoh's continuous two-color extrusion molding method in order to simplify manufacturing process.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brookman and Katoh as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kobayashi et al. (USPT 6,559,879 B1) The teachings of Brookman and Katoh have been discussed above.

Re claim 10: Brookman and Katoh do not disclose the light emitting diodes as set forth in the claim 10. However, Kobayashi teaches phosphide-based light emitting diodes (col. 2, lines 12-

Application/Control Number: 09/683,635

Art Unit: 2875

132). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to substitute Kobayashi's phosphide-based light emitting diodes with Brookman's

LEDs in order to provide red color light.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Guiyoung Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2374. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LGY

ALAN/CARIASO PRIMARY EXAMINED Page 4